

Electoral Education for First-Time Voters: Strengthening Political Efficacy and Democratic Participation among Young Citizens

Muhammad Hasan Abdillah

Center for National Resilience Studies Jakarta, Indonesia

m.hasan.abdillah97@gmail.com

Accepted: 10 March 2026	reviewed: 5 April 2026	Published: 20 May 2026
----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

Abstract: First-time voters are a strategic group in the sustainability of democracy because the first political experience gained in an election can shape their political orientation, behavior, and participation in the long term. However, electoral education has so far tended to be placed within a framework of technical socialization, such as voting procedures, introduction to election participants, and the exercise of voting rights. This approach has not fully explained how electoral education shapes citizens' capacity to understand power, political interests, elite competition, policy choices, and the political consequences of casting a vote. This article analyzes electoral education for first-time voters from a political science perspective using the concepts of political socialization, political efficacy, civic political education, and democratic participation. The study employs a qualitative approach through a literature review and conceptual analysis of political science literature on democracy, voter behavior, civic education, and political participation. The article argues that electoral education needs to shift from an electoral literacy model toward political literacy, namely the ability of first-time voters to understand elections as an arena for the distribution of power and the articulation of interests in a democracy. Such electoral education not only enhances technical voting skills but also builds critical awareness, political efficacy, the ability to evaluate candidates and programs, and resilience against money politics, disinformation, identity politics, and political manipulation. This article offers the conceptual framework of "electoral education political literacy political efficacy democratic participation" as an approach to strengthening the quality of democracy through first-time voters..

Keyword: Electoral Education, First-Time Voters, Political Socialization, Political Efficacy, Political Literacy, Political Participation, Democracy.

Introduction

Elections represent one of the fundamental mechanisms through which citizens transform their political preferences into political representation and the distribution of public power. However, the quality of democracy is not determined solely by the existence of free, competitive, and regular elections. Democratic consolidation also depends on the capacity of citizens to participate meaningfully in political processes. Citizens require not only the ability to cast their votes but also the knowledge and analytical capacity to understand political institutions, candidates, public policies, and the consequences of electoral choices (Delli Carpini & Keeter, 1996). Therefore, electoral education becomes an essential component of democratic development because it enables citizens to develop the competencies necessary to participate as informed and responsible political actors.

Electoral education, however, is frequently interpreted narrowly as voter information that emphasizes technical aspects of elections, such as registration procedures, voting schedules, polling locations, and ballot mechanisms. While this type of information is important for ensuring electoral participation, it does not sufficiently address the broader political competencies required

in a democratic society. The distinction between voter information and voter education is crucial because voter education involves a deeper understanding of citizens' rights and responsibilities, the relationship between elections and democracy, political accountability, and the significance of individual political choices (ACE Electoral Knowledge Network, 2023). Consequently, the central challenge of electoral education is not merely whether citizens know how to vote, but whether they understand the political meaning and implications of their vote.

From the perspective of political science, electoral education can be understood through the framework of political socialization. Political socialization refers to the process through which individuals develop political orientations, values, knowledge, and attitudes through interactions with social institutions such as family, schools, peer groups, media, and political environments (Easton & Dennis, 1969). Education represents one of the most significant arenas through which citizens acquire political understanding and develop relationships with political institutions. Previous studies have demonstrated that civic and political education contributes to political knowledge, democratic attitudes, and citizens' willingness to participate in political life (Galston, 2001; Niemi & Junn, 1998). For first-time voters, electoral education represents an important early political experience because it may shape their long-term relationship with democracy, government institutions, political parties, and electoral participation.

This issue becomes increasingly significant in the context of Indonesia, where young citizens constitute a substantial proportion of the electorate. The 2024 Indonesian election demonstrated the increasing importance of Generation Z and young voters in shaping electoral dynamics. At the same time, political communication among young citizens has become strongly connected to digital platforms, particularly social media, which function as major sources of political information, campaign communication, and political discussion (Andriyendi et al., 2023; Septian & Wulandari, 2024). Social media provides opportunities for young citizens to access diverse political information and participate in political conversations. However, the digital political environment also introduces new challenges because political information is not always accompanied by adequate mechanisms for verification and critical evaluation.

The abundance of political information in digital environments does not automatically produce higher levels of political literacy. Young voters may encounter various forms of political content, including factual information, political opinions, campaign narratives, political marketing, misinformation, and manipulated content. Therefore, contemporary electoral education faces a transformation from addressing an information deficit toward addressing problems of information quality, interpretation, and critical evaluation. In this context, digital literacy becomes closely connected with political literacy because citizens need the ability not only to access information but also to evaluate political messages, identify manipulation, and make independent political judgments (Kahne & Bowyer, 2017).

Previous research on young voters in Indonesia has shown that social media influences political participation by shaping political awareness, political knowledge, and engagement among younger generations. However, increased exposure to political information does not necessarily guarantee critical political understanding. Young citizens may participate actively in political discussions while remaining vulnerable to misinformation, identity-based political narratives, and symbolic political campaigns. Recent studies on Generation Z participation during the 2024 election highlight that social media can strengthen political literacy when accompanied by critical thinking and digital competence, but it can also create challenges related to information manipulation and political polarization.

To understand this complexity, political literacy provides an important conceptual framework for examining the quality of electoral participation. Political literacy refers not only to the possession of political facts but also to the ability of citizens to understand, analyze, evaluate, and critically interpret political processes (Delli Carpini & Keeter, 1996). A politically literate voter does not merely recognize candidates or political parties but is capable of examining policy

proposals, evaluating political interests, assessing candidate credibility, and understanding how political decisions affect society. Thus, political literacy transforms citizens from passive recipients of political messages into active participants capable of making independent democratic choices.

Another important concept related to electoral education is political efficacy. Political efficacy describes citizens' belief that they are capable of understanding political issues and that their actions can influence political processes (Campbell et al., 1954). The concept consists of two dimensions: internal political efficacy and external political efficacy. Internal political efficacy refers to individuals' confidence in their own ability to understand and evaluate political issues, whereas external political efficacy refers to citizens' perceptions regarding the responsiveness of political institutions toward public demands (Niemi et al., 1991). In the context of first-time voters, electoral education may strengthen internal political efficacy by improving political knowledge and analytical capacity. Furthermore, understanding the relationship between elections, representation, and policymaking may contribute to stronger external political efficacy because citizens recognize the potential impact of their political participation.

Nevertheless, existing studies on electoral education frequently emphasize electoral participation, voting behavior, or technical voter preparation, while fewer studies examine electoral education as a mechanism for developing broader political capacity among first-time voters. The distinction between electoral literacy and political literacy remains important because citizens who understand voting procedures are not necessarily citizens who understand political power relations, public accountability, and democratic governance. Therefore, electoral education requires a broader conceptualization that integrates political socialization, political literacy, and political efficacy as interconnected dimensions of democratic citizenship.

Based on this theoretical and empirical context, this study examines electoral education for first-time voters as an instrument for developing citizens' political capacity and political agency. Specifically, this study analyzes how electoral education contributes to the formation of political literacy and political efficacy among young voters. By positioning electoral education within the broader framework of political socialization, this research argues that democratic education should move beyond the transmission of procedural electoral knowledge toward the development of critical, informed, and autonomous citizens capable of participating in and monitoring democratic processes.

Methods

This study employed a qualitative research approach using a literature review and conceptual analysis design to examine electoral education as an instrument for developing political capacity among first-time voters. A qualitative approach was selected because the study aims to explore and interpret theoretical relationships between electoral education, political socialization, political literacy, and political efficacy rather than measure causal relationships statistically (Creswell & Creswell, 2023). The research data consisted of secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books on political theory and civic education, electoral regulations, reports from the General Election Commission (KPU) and the Election Supervisory Agency (Bawaslu), as well as relevant reports on voter behavior and electoral education programs in Indonesia. The selection of literature was based on its relevance to the central themes of the study, particularly studies discussing young voters, democratic citizenship, political knowledge, digital political literacy, and political participation.

Data analysis was conducted through three interconnected stages. First, conceptual analysis was applied to identify and examine the relationships among the key concepts of electoral education, political socialization, political literacy, and political efficacy. Second, thematic analysis was conducted to identify recurring themes regarding the role of education in shaping first-time voters' political understanding, decision-making capacity, and democratic engagement (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Third, comparative literature analysis was used to compare findings from previous

studies and identify theoretical gaps regarding the role of electoral education beyond procedural voting knowledge. Through this analytical process, the study positions electoral education not merely as a mechanism for increasing electoral participation but as a broader process of developing politically literate citizens with the capacity to critically understand, evaluate, and participate in democratic governance.

Result And Discussion

First-Time Voters as New Political Actors and the Transformation of Electoral Education

The findings of this study indicate that first-time voters should not be understood merely as an administrative category consisting of citizens who exercise their voting rights for the first time. From a political science perspective, first-time voters represent a group of emerging political actors who are experiencing their initial engagement with formal political processes. Their first electoral experience may influence how they perceive the state, political institutions, political parties, candidates, representation, and democratic participation more broadly. Therefore, electoral education for first-time voters should not only focus on ensuring electoral participation but also on developing their capacity as democratic citizens.

First-time voters enter the political arena with relatively limited political experience; however, they are highly connected to digital communication environments and obtain political information from various sources. This condition makes them a strategic group in democratic development while simultaneously creating vulnerabilities related to misinformation, political manipulation, and superficial political narratives. Political socialization theory explains that political orientations are not naturally formed but develop through interaction with various social environments, including family, educational institutions, media, peer groups, and political organizations (Easton & Dennis, 1969; Jennings & Niemi, 1974). Therefore, the first electoral experience becomes an important stage in shaping citizens' political orientations and future patterns of participation.

The analysis shows that conventional electoral education approaches remain largely oriented toward procedural knowledge, such as understanding voting mechanisms, election procedures, and the technical use of voting rights. Although such knowledge is essential to guarantee electoral participation, it represents only the basic level of democratic competence. Citizens who understand voting procedures are not necessarily capable of evaluating political choices, assessing candidates, understanding policy implications, or recognizing relationships between political interests and power structures. Therefore, this study argues that electoral education requires a conceptual transformation from electoral literacy toward political literacy.

The distinction between these two concepts is important because electoral participation requires more than the ability to vote. Electoral literacy primarily focuses on citizens' ability to exercise their voting rights, whereas political literacy emphasizes citizens' ability to understand, analyze, and evaluate political processes, institutions, interests, and consequences of political decisions (Delli Carpini & Keeter, 1996). The transformation can be summarized as follows:

Table 1. *Transformation from Electoral Literacy to Political Literacy*

Model	Orientation
<i>Electoral literacy</i>	Understanding how to exercise voting rights
<i>Political literacy</i>	Understanding power relations, political interests, and democratic processes
<i>Voter education</i>	Developing citizens as voters
<i>Political education</i>	Developing citizens as political actors
<i>Voting</i>	Short-term electoral outcome
<i>Democratic participation</i>	Long-term democratic process

The transformation presented in Table 1 demonstrates that electoral education should not be limited to increasing voter turnout but should be directed toward developing citizens' political capacity. Previous studies on civic education emphasize that democratic societies require citizens who possess political knowledge, analytical skills, and civic dispositions that enable meaningful participation in public life (Galston, 2001; Niemi & Junn, 1998). In this context, the ultimate objective of electoral education is not merely producing voters who participate in elections but creating critical voters who are able to understand political processes and evaluate political choices independently.

A critical voter is not an individual who is free from political interests or preferences. Rather, a critical voter is a citizen who is capable of identifying, evaluating, and reflecting upon the interests behind political messages and electoral alternatives. Such voters are able to verify political information, examine candidate programs, evaluate political records, compare policy alternatives, identify economic and political interests, and recognize practices such as money politics, disinformation, and identity-based manipulation. Through this capacity, voters can understand the relationship between electoral choices and public policy outcomes.

The development of critical voters requires a broader understanding of electoral education as a shared responsibility among multiple actors. Electoral education cannot be viewed solely as a program conducted by election management institutions before election periods. Instead, it should be developed as a political education ecosystem involving various institutions that contribute to citizens' political learning processes. Political orientations are formed through interactions among multiple agents; therefore, political education requires cooperation between state institutions, educational institutions, political organizations, media, families, civil society, and digital platforms (Easton & Dennis, 1969).

The multi-actor model of political education can be described as follows:

Table 2. *Multi-Actor Political Education Ecosystem*

Actor	Function
<i>State</i>	Ensuring citizens' rights and access to political education
<i>General Election Commission (KPU)</i>	Providing electoral education and voter information
<i>Election Supervisory Agency (Bawaslu)</i>	Strengthening electoral supervision and preventing money politics
<i>Schools/ Universities</i>	Providing civic and political education
<i>Political Parties</i>	Political recruitment and cadre development
<i>Media</i>	Providing public political information
<i>Civil Society</i>	Developing critical political education
<i>Family</i>	Early political socialization
<i>Digital Platforms</i>	Strengthening information literacy
<i>Young Voters</i>	Active political learning

Based on Table 2, electoral education should be understood as an ecosystem of political learning rather than a one-directional socialization program. Each actor contributes to different dimensions of political capacity development. Election institutions provide electoral knowledge, educational institutions develop civic understanding, media organizations influence political information environments, and families and social networks contribute to early political orientation formation.

The strategic importance of first-time voters is particularly evident in Indonesia's electoral structure. Data from the General Election Commission indicate that the 2024 election involved 203,056,748 registered voters. Generation Z accounted for 50,645,669 voters (24.94%), while millennials represented 67,909,820 voters (33.44%). Combined, these two groups constituted approximately 58.38% of the total electorate (Komisi Pemilihan Umum, 2024). This demographic composition demonstrates that young citizens represent a central component of Indonesia's democratic future.

However, this study emphasizes that the large proportion of young voters does not automatically indicate a high level of political capacity. Generation Z and first-time voters are related but conceptually different categories. Generation Z refers to a demographic classification based on generational characteristics, whereas first-time voters refer specifically to citizens who participate in elections for the first time. Although many first-time voters belong to Generation Z, not all members of Generation Z are first-time voters. Therefore, electoral education strategies should focus specifically on the political learning needs of citizens who are entering formal political participation for the first time.

Consequently, this study positions first-time voters not merely as the target audience of electoral education programs but as new political citizens who are developing their political identity, knowledge, and democratic capacity. Their first experience with electoral politics can shape whether they perceive politics as a transactional arena dominated by money, popularity, and conflict, or as a democratic process based on ideas, representation, accountability, and public interests. Therefore, strengthening electoral education among first-time voters represents an important investment in the long-term development of democratic political culture.

Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that electoral education for first-time voters represents an important arena for developing citizens' political capacity. The significant proportion of young voters within Indonesia's electoral structure highlights that the quality of political education provided to this group has broader implications for the quality of democracy. However, a large number of young voters does not automatically guarantee meaningful political participation. Challenges such as money politics, identity-based mobilization, misinformation, and changes in political communication patterns indicate that electoral education requires a more substantive approach that goes beyond procedural voting knowledge.

Therefore, this study proposes a conceptual shift from electoral education toward political capacity building. Electoral education should be designed to develop four essential capacities: electoral knowledge, political literacy, political efficacy, and democratic participation. Through this perspective, first-time voters are no longer viewed merely as targets of electoral socialization who need to understand voting procedures, but as political subjects capable of understanding, evaluating, making choices, and monitoring the exercise of political power. The more electoral education moves from technical information delivery toward the development of political literacy and political efficacy, the greater its potential to produce informed political choices and encourage critical, meaningful, and sustainable participation in democratic processes.

References

- ACE Electoral Knowledge Network. (2023). *Electoral education*. ACE Project. <https://aceproject.org>
- Almond, G. A., & Verba, S. (1963). *The civic culture: Political attitudes and democracy in five nations*. Princeton University Press.
- Balch, G. I. (1974). Multiple indicators in survey research: The concept "sense of political efficacy." *Political Methodology*, 1(2), 1–43.
- Badan Pengawas Pemilihan Umum Republik Indonesia. (2024). *Indeks kerawanan pemilu dan pemilihan serentak 2024*. Bawaslu Republik Indonesia.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Campbell, A., Gurin, G., & Miller, W. E. (1954). *The voter decides*. Row, Peterson.
- Centellas, M., & Rosenblatt, K. (2018). Political science education and political efficacy: Evidence from undergraduate students. *Journal of Political Science Education*, 14(2), 1–15.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods*

- approaches* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Delli Carpini, M. X., & Keeter, S. (1996). *What Americans know about politics and why it matters*. Yale University Press.
- Easton, D., & Dennis, J. (1969). *Children in the political system: Origins of political legitimacy*. McGraw-Hill.
- Galston, W. A. (2001). Political knowledge, political engagement, and civic education. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 4, 217–234. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.217>
- Jennings, M. K., & Niemi, R. G. (1974). *The political character of adolescence: The influence of families and schools*. Princeton University Press.
- Juditha, C., & Darmawan, J. (2024). Disinformasi politik dan hoaks pada Pemilu Presiden Indonesia 2024 dalam ruang digital. *Jurnal Studi Komunikasi dan Media*.
- Kahne, J., & Bowyer, B. (2017). Educating for democracy in a partisan age: Confronting the challenges of motivated reasoning and misinformation. *American Educational Research Journal*, 54(1), 3–34. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216679817>
- Kementerian Komunikasi dan Informatika Republik Indonesia. (2024). *Penanganan isu hoaks Pemilu 2024*. Kementerian Komunikasi dan Informatika Republik Indonesia.
- Komisi Pemilihan Umum Republik Indonesia. (2024). *Data pemilih Pemilu 2024*. KPU Republik Indonesia. <https://www.kpu.go.id>
- Komisi Pemilihan Umum Republik Indonesia. (2024). *Fact sheet KPU: General election 2024*. KPU Republik Indonesia.
- McLeod, J. M., Scheufele, D. A., & Moy, P. (1999). Community, communication, and participation: The role of mass media and interpersonal discussion in political participation. *Political Communication*, 16(3), 315–336. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105846099198659>
- Niemi, R. G., Craig, S. C., & Mattei, F. (1991). Measuring internal political efficacy in the 1988 National Election Study. *American Political Science Review*, 85(4), 1407–1413. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1963949>
- Niemi, R. G., & Junn, J. (1998). *Civic education: What makes students learn*. Yale University Press.
- Prior, M. (2010). You’ve either got it or you don’t? The stability of political interest over the life cycle. *The Journal of Politics*, 72(3), 747–766. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022381610000149>
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.